

Walnut Creek, CA

Trends over Time

DRAFT 2017



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2017 ratings for the City of Walnut Creek to its previous survey results in 2007, 2012 and 2017. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Walnut Creek represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than five percentage points between the 2012 and 2017 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2012 and 2017 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Walnut Creek for 2017 generally remained stable. Of the 89 items for which comparisons were available, 51 items were rated similarly in 2012 and 2017, 29 items showed a decrease in ratings and nine showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- While many aspects within the pillar of Community Characteristics were not eligible for trend analysis, the majority of ratings that were eligible remained stable from 2012 to 2017. Ratings decreased for over time for traffic flow, ease of travel by car, travel by bicycle, ease of walking, ease of travel by public transportation, the availability of paths and walking trails, new development in Walnut Creek, the availability of affordable quality housing, housing options, the overall quality of business and services establishments, the availability of affordable quality food, the overall quality of life, the City as a place to retire and the overall image of Walnut Creek. However, ratings increased for air quality, the availability of preventive health services and the availability of affordable child care/preschool since 2012.
- Within the pillar of Governance, ratings decreased from 2012 to 2017 for traffic enforcement, street repair, bus or transit services, recycling, storm drainage, land use, planning and zoning, cable television, the overall direction the City is taking, the value of services for taxes paid, the job the City does at welcoming citizen involvement and the overall services provided by Walnut Creek. Ratings for public information services increased during this time period.
- Within Participation, more measures increased than decreased from 2012 to 2017. This year, more residents were optimistic that the economy would have a positive impact on their income in the next six months. Additionally, more Walnut Creek participants reported they had used Walnut Creek recreation centers, voted in local elections, attended public meetings and participated in clubs. Ratings trended down in 2017 for the overall sense of community and fewer residents indicated they had watched a local public meeting. Additionally, this year fewer respondents reported that they would recommend living in Walnut Creek and would remain in the City for the next five years.

The National Citizen Survey™

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

| | Percent ratir | ng positively (e.g., exc | ellent/good) | | Comparison to benchmark | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | 2017 rating compared to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | | |
| Overall quality of life | 95% | 96% | 91% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar | | |
| Overall image | 95% | 95% | 88% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Higher | | |
| Place to live | 97% | 97% | 94% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar | | |
| Neighborhood | 89% | 93% | 91% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar | | |
| Place to raise children | 94% | 93% | 93% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Higher | | |
| Place to retire | 81% | 82% | 70% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar | | |
| Overall appearance | 95% | 94% | 90% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Higher | | |

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

| | | | g positively (e.g., ex very/somewhat safe | | 2017 rating compared | Comparison to benchmark | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|------|--|------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------|
| | | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 |
| | Overall feeling of safety | NA | NA | 92% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| | Safe in neighborhood | 97% | 97% | 96% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Safety | Safe downtown/commercial area | 96% | 97% | 95% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Overall ease of travel | NA | NA | 57% | NA | NA | NA | Lower |
| | Paths and walking trails | NA | 89% | 83% | Lower | NA | Much higher | Higher |
| | Ease of walking | 83% | 84% | 77% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Travel by bicycle | 72% | 76% | 55% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Travel by public transportation | NA | 49% | 36% | Lower | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| | Travel by car | 45% | 46% | 37% | Lower | Similar | Much lower | Lower |
| | Public parking | NA | NA | 30% | NA | NA | NA | Lower |
| Mobility | Traffic flow | 24% | 32% | 23% | Lower | NA | Much lower | Lower |
| | Overall natural environment | NA | 89% | 86% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| | Cleanliness | NA | 92% | 88% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| Natural Environment | Air quality | 80% | 77% | 86% | Higher | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Built Environment | Overall built environment | NA | NA | 64% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |

The National Citizen Survey™

| | | | g positively (e.g., e very/somewhat safe | | 2017 rating compared | Comp | arison to bend | hmark |
|----------------|----------------------------------|------|---|------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| | | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 |
| | New development in Walnut Creek | 74% | 76% | 62% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Affordable quality housing | 17% | 33% | 16% | Lower | Much lower | Much lower | Much lower |
| | Housing options | NA | 62% | 43% | Lower | NA | Higher | Similar |
| | Public places | NA | NA | 84% | NA | NA | NA | Highe |
| | Overall economic health | NA | NA | 87% | NA | NA | NA | Highe |
| | Vibrant downtown/commercial area | NA | NA | 87% | NA | NA | NA | Much highe |
| | Business and services | NA | 89% | 83% | Lower | NA | Much higher | Highe |
| | Cost of living | NA | NA | 23% | NA | NA | NA | Lowe |
| | Shopping opportunities | 95% | 92% | 90% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Much highe |
| | Employment opportunities | 56% | 47% | 50% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Simila |
| | Place to visit | NA | NA | 83% | NA | NA | NA | Highe |
| | | | | | | Much | Much | |
| Economy | Place to work | 87% | 81% | 79% | Similar | higher | higher | Highe |
| | Health and wellness | NA | NA | 86% | NA | NA | NA | Highe |
| | Mental health care | NA | NA | 62% | NA | NA | NA | Highe |
| | Preventive health services | NA | 75% | 82% | Higher | NA | Much higher | Highe |
| | Health care | 74% | 74% | 77% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Highe |
| | Food | 81% | 81% | 71% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Simila |
| Recreation and | Recreational opportunities | 83% | 87% | 84% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Highe |
| Wellness | Fitness opportunities | NA | NA | 85% | NA | NA | NA | Highe |
| | Cultural/arts/music activities | 80% | 78% | 79% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Highe |
| | Adult education | NA | NA | 77% | NA | NA | NA | Highe |
| Education and | K-12 education | NA | 80% | 85% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Simila |
| Enrichment | Child care/preschool | 40% | 41% | 57% | Higher | Similar | Similar | Simila |
| Community | Social events and activities | NA | 76% | 75% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Simila |
| Engagement | Neighborliness | NA | NA | 64% | NA | NA | NA | Simila |

The National Citizen Survey™

| | | | Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe) | | | Comparison to benchmark | | |
|--|---|-----|--|------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| | | | 2012 | 2017 | 2017 rating compared to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 |
| | Openness and acceptance | 67% | NA | 62% | Similar | Higher | NA | Similar |
| | Opportunities to participate in community | | | | | | Much | |
| | matters | NA | 68% | 70% | Similar | NA | higher | Similar |
| | Opportunities to volunteer | NA | 78% | 77% | Similar | NA | Higher | Similar |

Table 3: Governance General

| | Percent rating | g positively (e.g., ex | (cellent/good) | | Compa | rison to benchma | rk |
|---|----------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | 2017 rating compared to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 |
| Services provided by Walnut Creek | 86% | 89% | 82% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Customer service | 85% | 83% | 81% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Value of services for taxes paid | 77% | 73% | 60% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Overall direction | 70% | 72% | 51% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Welcoming citizen involvement | 65% | 64% | 56% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Confidence in City government | NA | NA | 54% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Acting in the best interest of Walnut Creek | NA | NA | 54% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Being honest | NA | NA | 57% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Treating all residents fairly | NA | NA | 58% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Services provided by the Federal Government | 31% | 37% | 40% | Similar | Lower | Similar | Similar |

Table 4: Governance by Facet

| | | Percent rating | positively (e.g., e | excellent/good) | | Compar | rison to benchma | ark |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| | | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | 2017 rating compared to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 |
| | Police | 88% | 91% | 87% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Fire | NA | 97% | 96% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| | Ambulance/EMS | NA | 98% | 94% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| | Crime prevention | 84% | 78% | 76% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Animal control | NA | 77% | 76% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| Safety | Emergency preparedness | NA | 69% | 72% | Similar | NA | Higher | Similar |
| , | Traffic enforcement | 65% | 67% | 60% | Lower | Higher | Higher | Similar |
| | Street repair | 60% | 60% | 53% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Street cleaning | 79% | 73% | 75% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Street lighting | 76% | 72% | 67% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Sidewalk maintenance | 66% | 62% | 63% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Traffic signal timing | 49% | 49% | 47% | Similar | Higher | Similar | Similar |
| Mobility | Bus or transit services | NA | 62% | 55% | Lower | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| | Garbage collection | NA | 89% | 87% | Similar | NA | Higher | Similar |
| Natural Environment | Recycling | NA | 90% | 82% | Lower | NA | Much higher | Similar |

The National Citizen Survey $\mbox{^{TM}}$

| | | Percent rating | positively (e.g., e | excellent/good) | | Compar | rison to benchma | ark |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| | | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | 2017 rating compared to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 |
| | Yard waste pick-up | NA | 88% | 84% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| | Drinking water | NA | 87% | 84% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Higher |
| | Natural areas preservation | NA | 84% | 80% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Higher |
| | Open space | NA | NA | 84% | NA | NA | NA | Higher |
| | Storm drainage | 73% | 80% | 74% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Sewer services | NA | 87% | 88% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| | Power utility | NA | 83% | 82% | Similar | NA | Higher | Similar |
| | Land use, planning and zoning | 64% | 61% | 52% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Code enforcement | 72% | 66% | 65% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Higher |
| Built Environment | Cable television | NA | 70% | 64% | Lower | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| Economy | Economic development | 76% | 71% | 70% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Higher |
| | City parks | 92% | 94% | 91% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| | Recreation programs | 91% | 85% | 86% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Higher |
| | Recreation centers | 89% | 84% | 80% | Similar | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Recreation and Wellness | Health services | NA | 87% | 86% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Higher |
| | Special events | NA | NA | 77% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Education and Enrichment | Public libraries | NA | 91% | 89% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| Community Engagement | Public information | 63% | NA | 77% | Higher | Similar | NA | Similar |

Table 5: Participation General

| Table 3. Farticipation deficit | ui . | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---|------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------|
| | Percent rating positively | Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | | | Comparison to benchmark | | |
| | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | 2017 rating compared to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 |
| Sense of community | 72% | 76% | 66% | Lower | Much higher | Much higher | Similar |
| Recommend Walnut Creek | NA | 97% | 91% | Lower | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| Remain in Walnut Creek | NA | 93% | 87% | Lower | NA | Much higher | Similar |
| Contacted Walnut Creek employees | 42% | 32% | 37% | Similar | NA | Much lower | Similar |

Table 6: Participation by Facet

| | | Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes) | | | 2017 rating compared | | Comparison to benchmark | | |
|--------|-----------------------------------|---|------|------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|---------|--|
| | | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | |
| | Stocked supplies for an emergency | NA | NA | 48% | NA | NA | NA | Higher | |
| | Did NOT report a crime | NA | NA | 80% | NA | NA | NA | Similar | |
| Safety | Was NOT the victim of a crime | 14% | 88% | 88% | Similar | NA | Similar | Similar | |

The National Citizen Survey $^{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{TM}}$

| | | Percent rating posi | tively (e.g., always/so once a month, yes) | metimes, more than | 2017 rating compared | Comparison to benchn | | enchmark |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 | to 2012 | 2007 | 2012 | 2017 |
| | Used public transportation instead of driving | NA | NA | 57% | NA | NA | NA | Much higher |
| | Carpooled instead of driving alone | NA | NA | 52% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Mobility | Walked or biked instead of driving | NA | NA | 70% | NA | NA | NA | Higher |
| • | Conserved water | NA | NA | 95% | NA | NA | NA | Higher |
| | Made home more energy efficient | NA | NA | 80% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Natural Environment | Recycled at home | 94% | 97% | 97% | Similar | NA | Much higher | Higher |
| | Did NOT observe a code violation | NA | NA | 65% | NA | NA | NA | Higher |
| Built Environment | NOT under housing cost stress | NA | 64% | 63% | Similar | NA | Similar | Similar |
| Daile Elimonniene | Purchased goods or services in Walnut Creek | NA | NA | 97% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| | Economy will have positive impact on income | 28% | 22% | 34% | Higher | NA | Higher | Similar |
| Economy | Work in Walnut Creek | NA | NA | 33% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| | Used Walnut Creek recreation centers | 42% | 44% | 64% | Higher | NA | Much lower | Similar |
| | Visited a City park | 83% | 88% | 87% | Similar | NA | Similar | Similar |
| | Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables | NA | NA | 91% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Recreation and | Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity | NA | NA | 91% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Wellness | In very good to excellent health | NA | NA | 75% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Education and | Used Walnut Creek public libraries | 60% | 73% | 69% | Similar | NA | Similar | Similar |
| Enrichment | Attended a City-sponsored event | NA | NA | 56% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| | Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate | NA | NA | 37% | NA | NA | NA | Higher |
| | Contacted Walnut Creek elected officials | NA | NA | 20% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| | Volunteered | 39% | 42% | 40% | Similar | NA | Lower | Similar |
| | Participated in a club | NA | 32% | 39% | Higher | NA | Similar | Higher |
| | Talked to or visited with neighbors | NA | NA | 91% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| | Done a favor for a neighbor | NA | NA | 82% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| | Attended a local public meeting | 18% | 20% | 26% | Higher | NA | Much lower | Similar |
| | Watched a local public meeting | 40% | 37% | 25% | Lower | NA | Lower | Similar |
| | Read or watched local news | NA | NA | 89% | NA | NA | NA | Similar |
| Community Engagement | Voted in local elections | 82% | 82% | 93% | Higher | NA | Much higher | Higher |